

IBR: COMMUNITY OPINION SURVEY

April 22, 2022

Description

The program conducted 1,005 interviews with registered voters in Clark, Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington counties (within Metro boundaries in Clackamas and Washington counties). This included 192 voters of color. The survey was conducted April 13-22, 2022, via landline and cell phones, and online via text-to-web and email-to-web invitations. Voters in the City of Vancouver and BIPOC voters in the Portland Metro area were oversampled. Overall margin of sampling error was ±4% at the 95% confidence level.

The opinion survey included questions about specific design options relating to lane capacity and transit on the bridge, light rail extension options in Clark County, and Hayden Island interchange interest. The opinion survey results help illuminate voter opinion, values, and priorities regarding the IBR program. This opinion survey is one additional tool to collect feedback as part of the program's ongoing efforts to engage with and consider input from the community. Targeted community engagement efforts to gather feedback around design options included a variety of tools, including an online community survey with over 9,600 responses, over 300 listening session participants across multiple sessions, four Community Working Groups, and over two dozen public meetings of the program's steering and advisory groups between October 2021 and April 2022.



Survey of Voters in Portland Metro Area and Clark County Mixed Mode Live Telephone/Email-to-Web/Text-to-Web Survey Conducted April 13-22, 2022

1,005 completed interviews; including oversamples in City of Vancouver & BIPOC voters in Portland Metro

Overall MoE ±4.0 (600 Interviews)

Portland Metro Area MoE ±4.5 (474 Interviews)

City of Portland MoE ±6.8 (206 Interviews)

Clark County MoE ±5.7 (292 Interviews)

City of Vancouver MoE ±5.8 (290 Interviews)

Clark County Outside Van MoE ±7.1 (190 Interviews)

EMC Research #22-8322

All numbers in this document represent percentage (%) values, unless otherwise noted. Please note that due to rounding, percentages may not add up to exactly 100%.

INTRO: Hello, my name is, and I'm conducting a survey for to find out how people for about issues in your area. We are not trying to sell anything and are collecting this information on a scientificant completely confidential basis.			
1.	SEX (SELF ID + OBSERVED)		
	Male		43
	Female		48
	Non-binary		2
	Prefer not to respond		8
2.	Do you feel that things in your off on the wrong track?	area are generally going in the right di	rection or do you feel things are
	Right direction		25
	Wrong track		74
	(Don't know/Refused)		1

EMC Research #22-8322 -2-

3. What is the most important problem facing your area today? (OPEN-ENDED QUESTION, VERBATIM RESPONSES CODED INTO CATEGORIES BELOW)

36
27
11
5
4
2
2
2
2
2
2
5
0

4. And what do you think is the biggest transportation problem facing your area today? (OPEN-ENDED QUESTION, VERBATIM RESPONSES CODED INTO CATEGORIES BELOW)

Traffic/Too many cars	17
Need more roads/lanes	12
Crime/Safety	11
Lack of public transit	10
Road repairs	9
Gas prices	9
I-5/Bridge replacement	6
Infrastructure	5
Need Light Rail	2
Car emissions/dependency	2
Investment in bike lanes	2
Other	7
None/Don't know/No opinion	8



EMC Research #22-8322 -3-

5INT. I'm going to read you a list of issues relating to transportation in the area. For each, I would like to know how important that is to you on a scale of one to seven, where one means that issue is not important at all and seven means it is extremely important. You can use any number on the scale.

	Not urgen	t at all				Extrem	ely urgent	(Don't	
SCAL	E: 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	know)	Mean
5.	Building more	road capacit	V						
	15	6	11	15	14	9	30	0	4.6
6.	Expanding and	adding more	e high-cap	acity transit	to get peo	ole out of th	neir cars		
	24	9	12	11	9	8	26	0	4.0
(RAND	OMIZE)								
7.	Dramatically cu	utting polluti	on and cai	rbon emissio	ons from ou	ır transporta	ation system	1	
	17	7	8	13	10	10	34	-	4.6
8.	Making improv	vements to b	ottlenecks	and choke	points on o	ur roads an	d highways t	to reduce	
	5	3	6	10	14	19	42	0	5.6
9.	Expanding Max	k Light Rail							
	30	6	7	13	12	9	23	0	3.9
10.	Replacing crum	nbling bridge	s that are	long past th	eir useful li	fe			
	2	1	5	9	17	17	49	0	5.9
11.	Expanding high predictable	n-capacity tra	ansit in its	own right o	f way so the	e trip is fast,	separated f	rom traffic,	and
	19	6	9	15	13	11	27	0	4.4
12.	Expanding of b	us and rapid	bus servic	e to give pe	ople choice	es to get aro	und through	nout the da	у
	20	7	9	17	15	11	20	0	4.1
13.	Expanding prot to encourage p				alkways to	separate bi	kes and peo	ple from ve	hicles
	26	12	9	13	11	8	21	0	3.8
14.	Ensuring that color, have a sa				•	ecision mak	ing, especia	lly people o	f
	19	6	7	14	14	10	31	0	4.5
15.	Ensuring that p	people of col	or and bus	inesses the	y own have	an equal op	portunity fo	or contracti	ng and
	career opportu		-			_		İ	
	18	5	7	13	13	11	33	0	4.6
(END D	ANDOMIZE)								

(END RANDOMIZE)



EMC Research #22-8322

16INT. Now I'd like to ask more about high-capacity transit. Think about the features that high-capacity transit might have. For each, please tell me if that is very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all to you.

SCAL	very E: important	important	important	at all	know/Not sure)
(RAND	OMIZE)				
16.	The fastest travel time	between Vancouve	er and Portland		
	20	30	24	26	0
17.	A service that runs in i stopped by congestion				ctable, it isn't
	42	34	12	13	0
18.	A service that is eligible	e for the most mon	ey from the federa	l government	
	26	37	17	19	0
19.	A fast and frequent se	rvice that entices th	ie most people out	of their cars and of	f the road
	37	27	15	22	-
20.	A service that is flexible	le so we can make c	hanges to its route	e later	
	26	42	19	13	-
21.	A service that allows n	ne to travel betwee	n Portland and Var	ncouver without trar	nsferring
	21	26	23	30	-
22.	A service that lets me	leave my car behind	i		
	30	29	16	25	0
23.	A service that allows p	eople to drive and p	oark to ride it		
	25	41	18	16	0
24.	A service that helps de	eal with climate cha	nge by releasing th	e least amount of ca	arbon into the air
	45	24	11	20	-
25.	A service that has the initially	lowest operating co	st in the long term	, even if it's more ex	opensive to build
	31	38	17	14	0
26.	A service that does the	e most to bring tran	sportation options	to historically unde	rserved communities
	35	34	14	16	-
27.	A service that gives us in population, jobs, an		meet our future tr	ransportation needs	as our region grows
	48	31	12	9	0

(END RANDOMIZE)



EMC Research #22-8322 -5-

28. In a typical month, how many times do you use a bus, car, bike, or walk to travel between Oregon and Washington on the I-5 bridge?

4 or more days a week	5
A few times a week	6
A few times a month	19
A few times a year	40
Never	29
(Don't know/Not sure)	0

29. As you may know, the states of Washington and Oregon have been working together on a plan to replace the I-5 bridge between Washington and Oregon. In general, do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose replacing the I-5 bridge between Washington and Oregon with a new bridge?

Strongly support	48	→ 85
Somewhat support	37	7 83
Somewhat oppose	8	→ 15
Strongly oppose	7	/ 13
(Don't know/Not sure/Something else)	0	

30. When the I-5 bridge gets replaced, there will be improvements to safety and road capacity, and high-capacity transit will be added. For addressing traffic congestion in this corridor, which one of the following comes closest to your opinion even if none is exactly right? (STATEMENTS 1, 2, 3 ROTATED)

We should prioritize expanding transit as much as we can to give	30
people more alternatives to driving and get cars off the road	30
We should prioritize expanding road capacity as much as we can to	41
handle more vehicles	41
We should include some expanded road capacity and more transit	29
(Don't know/Not sure)	0

31. When the I-5 bridge gets replaced there will be a toll when individual vehicles cross the bridge. The toll will help pay for construction and maintenance of the bridge. It is possible to vary the toll by time of day to help manage congestion by incentivizing people to travel when tolls are lower. Which of the following is closest to your opinion about tolling on the new I-5 bridge even if none is exactly right?

Tolls should vary significantly, lowest at off peak and highest at rush hour, so that it does the most to manage traffic congestion by encouraging people to make trips that aren't time sensitive at off peak times

Tolls should vary a bit, but the gap between the highest and lowest tolls shouldn't be very big, so that it somewhat manages traffic congestion

The toll should be the same no matter what time of day you cross the bridge because some people don't have flexibility in their schedules and that is unfair

(Don't know/Not sure)



EMC Research #22-8322 -6-

There are some design options for the I-5 bridge replacement that are being considered. I'd like to ask you about these options to better understand your priorities, starting with **transit options on the bridge**.

32. There will be dedicated space on the new bridge where Light Rail can be built. To do this, the existing Max Yellow line from Expo Station in North Portland would be extended across the bridge into Vancouver. You could travel between downtown Portland and Vancouver on Light Rail with no transfers. The extension would be paid for by a combination of funds from the states of Oregon, Washington, and the federal government. Would you say you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose having Light Rail on the bridge?

Strongly support	53	→ 79
Somewhat support	26	713
Somewhat oppose	6	→ 21
Strongly oppose	15	/ 21
(Don't know/Not sure)	0	

33. Light Rail costs quite a bit more to build that other high-capacity transit options, but it lasts longer, costs less to operate, and can carry two and a half times more people per day than other transit options. Would you say you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose having Light Rail on the bridge?

Strongly support	52	→ 77
Somewhat support	25	711
Somewhat oppose	7	→ 23
Strongly oppose	16	/ 23
(Don't know/Not sure)	-	

34. The waterfront in Vancouver has been expanding with more restaurants, shops, and businesses, and more is on the way. If Light Rail is extended to Vancouver, a Light Rail station could be built at the downtown Waterfront. This station might be more difficult to build than other options which means it might cost more. Assuming Light Rail is extended to Vancouver, how important do you think it would be to build a Light Rail station at the downtown Waterfront in Vancouver? Would you say it is...

Very important	27	→ 60
Somewhat important	34	7 00
Not that important	19	→ 40
Not important at all	21	7 40
(Don't know/ Refused)	0	

35. There are options for more stations in addition to the Waterfront station in the City of Vancouver. One station could be added at Evergreen and I-5 near the Vancouver Library. Adding this station would allow people to easily access downtown Vancouver without a car, but adding this station will also increase the cost of the whole project. How important do you think it would be to build this Light Rail station near the Vancouver Library? Would you say it is...

Very important	21	→ 56
Somewhat important	35	7 30
Not that important	20	→ 44
Not important at all	23	7
(Don't know/ Refused)	0	



EMC Research #22-8322 -7-

36. In the future, Light Rail could be extended further into Clark County to connect more people to transit. While expanding Light Rail gives people more options and takes cars off the road, extending Light Rail beyond the Library will require more money to buy land, build stations, the track, and operate the trains. How important do you think it is to further expand Light Rail into Clark County in the future? Would you say it is...

Very important	24	→ 53
Somewhat important	29	/ 33
Not that important	21	→ 47
Not important at all	26	7 - 77
(Don't know/ Refused)	0	

Now I'd like to ask you about....

The number of lanes on the bridge. The current I-5 bridge has three lanes of traffic going in both directions, six total lanes, with all lanes open to all vehicles. The new bridge will have space for vehicles, high-capacity transit, bikes, and pedestrians. The new bridge will add one or two lanes for vehicles in each direction. The purpose of any added lanes is to reduce the amount of merging and weaving people have to do with so many closely spaced exits and entrances, they are not through lanes. But adding these lanes helps congestion and improves safety.

(Q37-38 ROTATED)

37. In general, do you support or oppose having four lanes on the bridge in each direction, for a total of eight lanes?

Strongly support	44	→ 85
Somewhat support	41	7 65
Somewhat oppose	7	→ 15
Strongly oppose	7	/ 13
(Don't know/Not sure)	0	

38. In general, do you support or oppose having five lanes on the bridge in each direction, for a total of ten lanes?

Strongly support	48	→ 74
Somewhat support	27	//-
Somewhat oppose	16	→ 25
Strongly oppose	9	7 23
(Don't know/Not sure)	0	

(END ROTATE)



EMC Research #22-8322 -8-

39. The benefits of the 4-lane option are that it might mean less land is needed. It also reduces how much merging and weaving happens, which is projected to reduce accidents. The 4-lane option is slightly cheaper.

While the 5-lane option might need more land to be built, it reduces merging and weaving even more, and is projected to reduce accidents by at least 20% more than the 4-lane option.

Having heard this, which one of the following is closer to your opinion?

I am strongly in favor of the 4-lane option	11	→ 36
I lean toward the 4-lane option	25	7 30
I lean toward the 5-lane option	27	→ 54
I am strongly in favor of the 5-lane option	27	7 34
It doesn't really matter to me	9	
(Neither/Not sure/Don't know)	1	

40. The downside of the 4-lane option is that, with only one additional lane for merging and weaving, the hours the bridge is expected to be congested every day is higher than with the 5-lane option. At the same time, this means that the 4-lane option will help manage demand by restricting it.

The downside of the 5-lane option is that because the daily hours of congestion are projected to be significantly lower, some people say that building more lanes means more people are likely to drive, resulting in higher greenhouse gas emissions.

Having heard this, which one of the following is closer to your opinion?

I am strongly in favor of the 4-lane option	13	→ 39
I lean toward the 4-lane option	26	7 33
I lean toward the 5-lane option	21	→ 50
I am strongly in favor of the 5-lane option	29	/ 30
It doesn't really matter to me	10	
(Neither/Not sure/Don't know)	1	

Now I'd like to ask you about....

The interchange options planned for Hayden Island.

41. In a typical month, how often do you drive to or from Hayden Island? Is it...

4 or more days a week	2
A few times a week	6
A few times a month	16
A few times a year	46
Never	30
(Don't know/Not sure)	-

42. There are some design options for the interchange at Hayden Island interchange. Which best describes your opinion on the new proposed interchange options at Hayden Island? Would you say you...

Have no interest or opinion on what happens	39
Are only a little interested in what happens	41
Are very interested in what happens	20
(Don't know/Not sure)	0



EMC Research #22-8322 -9-

My last questions are for statistical purposes only.

43.	In what year were you born? (YEARS CODED INTO CATEGORIES BELOW)		
	18-29	17	
	30-39	19	
	40-49	17	
	50-64	23	
	65 or over	22	
	(Refused)	2	
44.	Do you consider yourself to be white or Caucasian, African American or Black, Hispanic or Latino, Asian or Pacific Islander, more than one of those I just read, or something I didn't mention?		
	White or Caucasian	67	
	African American or Black	2	
	Hispanic or Latino	4	
	Asian or Pacific Islander	3	
	Multiple	4	
	Something else	2	
	(Refused)	17	
45.	What is the last grade you completed in school?		
	Some grade school	0	
	Some high school	1	
	Graduated high school	6	
	Technical/Vocational	6	
	Some college/Less than 4-year degree	25	
	Graduated college/4-year degree	34	
	Graduate/Professional degree	22	
	(Refused)	6	
	Thank you!		
COUN	NTY (FROM SAMPLE)		
	Clark	21	
	Clackamas	15	
	Multnomah	40	
	Washington	25	

