



IBR Executive Steering Group Meeting

September 15, 2021

11:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.

www.interstatebridge.org

Closed Captions in English and Spanish

English closed captions are available within Zoom and YouTube.

Users can follow this link to view both English and Spanish captions in a separate browser window:

https://ibr.news/captions

Subtítulos disponible en Inglés y Español

Los subtítulos en Inglés están disponibles en Zoom y YouTube.

Usuarios pueden seguir este enlace para ver los subtítulos en Inglés y Español en una ventana separada del navegador:

https://ibr.news/captions



How to access closed captions



 At the bottom middle of your screen, you should see a menu of options. If you can't see the menu, hover your mouse over the bottom middle of the screen.

2. Click on the "CC" icon and a separate window with captions will appear.





Webinar Participation Tips

- Thank you for joining us today!
- We encourage panelists to turn on your video.
- Please keep your audio on mute when not speaking.
- Before speaking, please state your name and affiliation to help attendees identify who is talking.
- If you experience technical difficulties, please contact program staff at:
 (360) 329-6744



Public Input Instructions

- There will be an opportunity to provide brief public input later in the meeting today.
- To submit input after the meeting:
 - Email comments to info@interstatebridge.org with "ESG Public Comment" in the subject line
 - Call 888-503-6735 and state "ESG Public Comment" in your message









Welcome, Introductions, and Brief Updates from Around the Region



Welcome and Updates

Greg Johnson, Program Administrator

- Welcome and program updates
- Executive Steering Group Members
 - Regional updates
- Deb Nudelman, Facilitator





Meeting Agenda

Time	Торіс
11:00-11:15 am	Welcome, Introductions, Proposed Agenda, and Updates
11:15-11:45 am	Information: Re-Confirming Bridge Replacement as the Corridor Solution
11:45-12:40 am	Information and Feedback: Progress on Technical Work toward an IBR Solution
12:40-12:50 am	Opportunity for Public Input
12:50-1:00 pm	Confirm Upcoming Meeting Topics, Next Steps, and Summary
1:00 pm	Adjourn



Meeting Ground Rules

- Honor the agenda
- Listen to understand and ask questions to clarify
- Hard on the problems, soft on the people
- Address interests and seek common ground
- Provide a balance of speaking time







Re-Confirming Bridge Replacement as the Corridor Solution



Introduction

- In recent months, the program has heard from individuals and stakeholders requesting the program consider previously studied alternatives as potential solutions to the Interstate Bridge corridor, including:
 - Third Bridge or Supplemental Bridge
 - High-Speed Rail
 - Common Sense Alternative II
 - Immersed Tube Tunnel
- The program team reviewed and analyzed these potential solutions and summarized the information in a series of memos
 - Memos available at <u>www.interstatebridge.org/library</u> under "Environmental Documents"
- Today we will provide a high-level overview of that information to confirm your understanding of our analysis and approach moving forward



Purpose and Need

An alternative must address the transportation needs of the I-5 corridor/bridge:

- Growing travel demand and congestion
- Impaired freight movement
- Limited public transportation operation, connectivity, and reliability
- Safety and vulnerability to incidents
- Substandard bicycle and pedestrian facilities
- Seismic vulnerability of the I-5 bridge



Key Findings on Purpose and Need

Third Bridge

- Transit, bike, and pedestrian access would be out of direction for the I-5 corridor
- Would not address safety and congestion in the I-5 corridor
- Seismic vulnerability would remain for the Interstate Bridge
- Bridge lifts would continue at the Interstate Bridge

High-Speed Rail (HSR)

- Designed for long-distance travel (could not achieve high speeds between Portland/Vancouver)
- Not anticipated to sufficiently reduce congestion in the I-5 corridor
- Safety deficiencies would remain for I-5 corridor and Interstate Bridge
- Would not address transit, bike, and pedestrian needs in the I-5 corridor and Interstate Bridge
- Seismic vulnerability would remain for the Interstate Bridge



Key Findings on Purpose and Need

Common Sense Alternative II

- Would not address safety and congestion in the I-5 corridor
- Transit, bikes, pedestrians, and local traffic would remain on existing bridge
- Bridge lifts would continue at the Interstate Bridge
- Seismic vulnerability would remain for the Interstate Bridge

Immersed Tube Tunnel

- Safety concerns with locating vehicles, bikes, and pedestrians in a tunnel (e.g., fire)
- Would not be able to connect to Hayden Island and downtown Vancouver
- Approximately twice as expensive as a replacement bridge
- Additional impacts to natural and cultural resources



Conclusion

- In summary, the analysis and screening conducted on these potential solutions during the previous project is still valid
- The dismissed alternatives do not meet the Purpose and Need for the IBR program and will not be given additional consideration
 - This does not prevent additional planning for future projects that may include high speed rail or a third bridge

More information is available at



<u>www.interstatebridge.org/library</u> under "Environmental Documents"



Question/Answer and Group Discussion

Outcome:

Confirm understanding of solutions that continue to not meet Purpose and Need and will not be given additional consideration.





Progress on Technical Work toward an IBR Solution



Getting to the IBR Solution: Technical Work Overview



Developing an IBR Solution for the Region



Program Desired Outcomes

Observable and measurable accomplishments for the IBR program

Program-Level Performance Measures

Indicators to measure the IBR program's success over the lifespan of the program

Design Option Screening Criteria

Requirements used to assess the trade-offs or potential impacts among the design options considered



Where We Are: Desired Outcomes and Screening Criteria



- Developing design options to respond to changes, developing screening criteria to advance desired outcomes
- Working with partners, CAG, and EAG to coalesce around how desired outcomes and screening criteria, housed in a screening matrix, will lead to the IBR Solution
- We will continue to refine with our partners and bring back a screening matrix to the ESG at the October 21, 2021 meeting, seeking alignment at a conceptual level and agreement in principle (AIP)



Where We Are Going: Desired Outcomes and Screening Criteria

Working with program partners, we will:

- Establish a framework that maps desired outcomes to programlevel performance measures and design option screening criteria
- Work with partners to translate aspirational outcomes into more specific metrics
- Refine the design option screening criteria based on desired outcomes
- Develop tailored screening matrices for each set of design options to differentiate performance, benefits, impacts, etc.



Progress on Developing Preliminary Design Options



Design Options: Where We Have Been and Where We Are Going

- Spring and Summer 2021: Working with partners to understand changes since past project to identify possible design options to study and evaluate in response to changes
- Summer 2021: Working collaboratively with partners to develop desired outcomes, proposed screening criteria and metrics, and a preliminary list of design options
- Summer and Fall 2021: Technical sessions with all partners to refine design options, and develop and review data inputs and modeling results



Engagement as We Develop Design Options

Partner Agencies

- Executive Steering Group (ESG)
- Ongoing engagement with embedded partner agency staff
- Technical groups
 - Hayden Island/Marine Drive
 - Downtown Vancouver
 - North Vancouver Interchanges
 - River Crossing/Alignment
 - Transit Options
 - Travel Demand Modeling

Advisory Groups

- Equity Advisory Group (EAG)
- Community Advisory Group (CAG)
- Community Engagement
 - Community Working Groups
 - Active Transportation
 - Hayden Island/Marine Drive
 - Multimodal Commuter
 - Downtown Vancouver
 - Fall Community Engagement Events
 - Community briefings
 - Online open house/engagement survey



Developing Preliminary Design Options that are Responsive to Changes

- River crossing and alignment
 - Replacement bridge configuration including through lanes and auxiliary lanes, with options including:
 - 2013 LPA option, straight alignment, and stacked alignment
- Interchange improvements and roadway sections
 - Road, interchange, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements, with options including:
 - North Vancouver: Mill Plain/4th Plain
 - Downtown Vancouver: 2013 LPA option and option with stacked river crossing alignment
 - Hayden Island/Marine Drive: Multiple options that include full interchange, partial interchange, and no interchange

Replacement of North Portland Harbor Bridge

- Additional work will be done to identify impacts and considerations of replacing this bridge
- Transit Options
 - Multiple high-capacity transit combinations in dedicated guideway, with options including:
 - Alignments: Near I-5 or in the center of I-5
 - Mode: LRT/BRT/Express Bus on Shoulder
 - LRT extensions to locations including Hayden Island, McLoughlin/I-5 and Kiggins Bowl in Vancouver
 - BRT extensions to Hayden Island and Expo Center

Active Transportation improvements

• Additional detail on improvements and connections will be added in Fall 2021



August: Preliminary Design Option Examples



Example: Bridge alignment option detail



Example: Transit option detail



Spotlight on Transit

Transit Objectives

- Support the Purpose & Need, center the options with climate and equity
- Optimize the High-Capacity Transit (HCT) options to encourage ridership and create an attractive choice in a cost-effective way
- Leverage previous planning and design
- Ensure transit demand in the corridor fits into the long-term public transit networks in each state
- Integrate new service across the bridge to the rest of the regional transit service

The transit solution will be part of the overall multimodal design solution.



Transit Trade Offs and Performance

- Model to identify trade offs to inform consideration of transit options
- Engage with CAG and EAG to get feedback on considerations such as transit access, connections, and priorities.
- Integrate transit options into the multimodal design options for screening

EVALUATION MEASURE		
فلله	Ridership	
٢	Transit Boardings	
0)	Corridor Transit Trips	
\bigcirc	I-5 Columbia River crossings	
Ø	Station Mode of Access	
$(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R}})$	Park and Ride Demand	
۲	Market Analysis	
\bigcirc	Transit Travel Time	
٢	Capital Costs	
۲	Operating and Maintenance Costs	
۲	Climate Resiliency	
(iii)	Proximity to HCT for Equity Priority Communities	
(11)	Mobility for Equity Priority Communities	
	Potential Property Impacts	



Early Equity-Focused Transit Measures

Physical access to stops

Local and regional mobility



Property impacts

 DRAFT high level equity-focused transit performance measures One Tool we will utilize : An isochrone map in geography and urban planning is a map that depicts the area accessible from a point within a certain time threshold.



Early Climate-Focused Transit Measures

Ridership, boardings, mode of access

Mode shift

Reliability in extreme weather

Fostering walkable communities

Relative carbon impact for construction and O&M

 DRAFT high level climate-focused transit performance measures



Climate Framework



Design Options: Next Steps

- Design option definition
 - Local street connections and access to multi-use paths, ramps, and transit options
- Additional data generation and analysis
 - Modeling options for screening
 - Refinement of equity and climate data
- Screen design options in November and December to evaluate performance



Example: Advancing design for screening



Heading to an IBR Solution









Group Discussion

- How is the proposed approach meeting regional partner interests?
- What suggestions or feedback do you have for improving the process, progress, and potential outcomes?
- Anything else you are wondering or worrying about?



Opportunity for Public Input



Comment Instructions

To make a verbal comment:

- If you have joined by Zoom, click "Raise Hand."
- If you have joined by phone, press *9 to raise your hand.
- The facilitator will call on participants. You will receive an "unmute" request. Please accept it. If you are commenting by phone dial *6 to unmute.
- Please provide your name and affiliation.
- Attendees will be allocated up to 2 minutes for public comment depending on the number of commenters up to a total of 10 minutes.

If we run out of time and you have not had a chance to speak, you can still provide comments after the meeting.







Comment Instructions

To submit comment after the meeting:

- Fill out comment form on program website or email comments to <u>info@interstatebridge.org</u> with "ESG Public Comment" in the subject line.
- Call 888-503-6735 and state "ESG Public Comment" in your message.
- All written comments must be received prior to 48 hours in advance of each upcoming meeting in order to be distributed to ESG members. Comments received after that point will be distributed to members in advance of their next meeting. All comments are posted on the IBR website.









Confirm Upcoming Meeting Topics, Next Steps, and Summary



Next Steps, Action Items, and Summary

Aug Sep Oct Nov

Dec

Jan

- Next meeting: Thursday, October 21 from 10am 12pm
- Confirm upcoming meeting topics:
 - Seeking alignment and an Agreement in Principle (AIP) on desired outcomes, screening criteria, and the screening process
 - Progress on developing design options
 - Community Working Groups and Fall Community Engagement
- Review action items and summary





Thank you!

