

## **IBR: COMMUNITY OPINION SURVEY**

### **Description**

The program conducted 917 interviews with registered voters in Clark, Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties (within Metro boundaries in Clackamas and Washington counties). This included 185 voters of color. The survey was conducted November 29-December 3, 2020, online and via landline and cell phones. The survey was informed by regional online discussion boards with a cross-section of local residents, and a live focus group with residents of color.

The margin of sampling error was  $\pm 3.3\%$  at the 95% confidence level.

This was an initial survey completed as a starting point in discussions with the public to gauge their values and priorities, so did not include questions about specific design options. This is only one piece of the broader community engagement plan and conversation.



**INTERSTATE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT SURVEY**  
**320-924-WT**  
**N=917**  
**MARGIN OF SAMPLING ERROR ±3.3% (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)**  
**A/B SPLITS**

Hello, I'm \_\_\_\_\_ from \_\_\_\_\_, a public opinion research firm. We're conducting a survey about issues that concern people in your area. I am not trying to sell you anything. May I please speak with \_\_\_\_\_?  
**(MUST SPEAK WITH VOTER LISTED. VERIFY THAT THE VOTER LIVES AT THE ADDRESS LISTED--OTHERWISE TERMINATE.)**

A. Before we begin, I need to know if I have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place where you can talk safely without endangering yourself or others?

- Yes, cell and can talk safely ----- 91%
- Yes, cell but cannot talk safely ----- **TERMINATE**
- No, not on cell -----9%
- (DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFUSED----- TERMINATE**

B. Next, with which racial or ethnic group do you identify yourself: Hispanic or Latino; African American or Black; White; Asian or Pacific Islander; Native American or indigenous; multiracial; or some other ethnic or racial background? **(ALLOW MULTIPLE SELECTIONS)**

**NOTE: FOR VOTERS OF COLOR OVERSAMPLE, TERMINATE IF NOT CODES 1/2/4/5/6/7.**

- Latino/Hispanic -----5%
- African American/Black-----1%
- White ----- 81%
- Asian/Pacific Islander-----2%
- Native American/Indigenous -----1%
- Multiracial -----3%
- Another category **(SPECIFY)**-----1%
- (DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFUSED ---6%**

1. First, would you say that things in the Portland/Vancouver region are generally headed in the right direction, or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

- Right direction ----- 29%
- Wrong track ----- 56%
- (DON'T READ) DK/NA ----- 15%**

2. Next, I am going to read you some people and organizations in public life. After you hear each one, please tell me if you approve or disapprove of the job being done by that person or organization. **(IF APPROVE/DISAPPROVE ASK: "Is that strongly APPROVE/ DISAPPROVE or just somewhat?") (RANDOMIZE)**

	<u>STR</u> <u>APP</u>	<u>SMWT</u> <u>APP</u>	<u>SMWT</u> <u>DISAPP</u>	<u>STR</u> <u>DISAPP</u>	<u>(DK/</u> <u>NA)</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>APP</u>	<u>TOTAL</u> <u>DISAPP</u>
[ ]a. Your City government -----	10%	38%	17%	26%	9%	48%	43%
[ ]b. Your County government-----	9%	45%	19%	16%	11%	54%	35%
[ ]c. State government-----	14%	36%	15%	31%	3%	50%	47%
<b>(ASK IN WASHINGTON ONLY)</b>							
[ ]d. The Washington State Department of Transportation-----	13%	46%	15%	6%	20%	59%	21%
<b>(ASK IN OREGON ONLY)</b>							
[ ]e. The Oregon Department of Transportation -----	15%	38%	21%	11%	15%	53%	32%

**(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)**

3. I am going to read you some issues that people have mentioned they would like to see addressed. For each one I read, please tell me whether you think it is an extremely serious problem, a very serious problem, a somewhat serious problem, or a not too serious problem for the Portland/Vancouver region. **(RANDOMIZE)**

	<u>EXT</u> <u>SER</u> <u>PROB</u>	<u>VERY</u> <u>SER</u> <u>PROB</u>	<u>SMWT</u> <u>SER</u> <u>PROB</u>	<u>NOT TOO</u> <u>SER</u> <u>PROB</u>	<u>(DK/</u> <u>NA)</u>	<u>EXT/</u> <u>VERY</u>
<b>(SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)</b>						
[ ]a. The economic impacts of the coronavirus -----	58%	32%	8%	3%	0%	89%
<b>(SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)</b>						
[ ]b. The public health impacts of the coronavirus -----	42%	26%	20%	11%	1%	68%
[ ]c. Racial discrimination and prejudice-----	26%	23%	21%	27%	3%	49%
[ ]d. The pace of growth and development -----	14%	20%	37%	25%	4%	34%
[ ]e. Deteriorating infrastructure -----	24%	31%	34%	9%	2%	55%
[ ]f. Homelessness -----	60%	29%	8%	3%	0%	89%
<b>(SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)</b>						
[ ]g. The cost of housing -----	37%	30%	27%	6%	1%	66%
[ ]h. Traffic-----	20%	28%	32%	18%	1%	49%
[ ]i. The amount you pay in local taxes-----	23%	23%	28%	23%	3%	46%
[ ]j. The risk of a major earthquake -----	12%	20%	36%	29%	3%	32%
[ ]k. Unemployment-----	31%	36%	23%	8%	2%	67%
[ ]l. A lack of public transportation -----	6%	9%	30%	50%	6%	14%
[ ]m. Climate change -----	34%	22%	17%	27%	1%	56%

<u>EXT</u>	<u>VERY</u>	<u>SMWT</u>	<u>NOT TOO</u>	
<u>SER</u>	<u>SER</u>	<u>SER</u>	<u>SER</u>	<u>(DK/</u>
<u>PROB</u>	<u>PROB</u>	<u>PROB</u>	<u>PROB</u>	<u>NA)</u>

<u>EXT/</u>
<u>VERY</u>
30%
19%

**(SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)**

[ ]n. Air pollution -----	14%	16%	30%	38%	2%
[ ]o. A lack of transportation choices other than driving-----	7%	12%	30%	49%	2%

**(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)**

4. And, thinking about your personal financial situation over the next few months, do you feel confident or uneasy you will be able to meet your living expenses? **(IF CONFIDENT/UNEASY, ASK “Is that very CONFIDENT/UNEASY or just somewhat?”)**

<b>TOTAL CONFIDENT -----</b>	<b>73%</b>
Very confident -----	49%
Somewhat confident-----	24%
 <b>TOTAL UNEASY -----</b>	 <b>25%</b>
Somewhat uneasy -----	14%
Very uneasy -----	11%
 <b>(DON'T READ) DK/NA -----</b>	 <b>2%</b>

<b>MY NEXT QUESTIONS HAVE TO DO WITH TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION IN THE PORTLAND/VANCOUVER REGION.</b>
---

5. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, how often did you use the I-5 bridge across the Columbia River connecting Portland and Vancouver, either in a bus, car, or bike? **(READ LIST)**

Every day -----	5%
A few times a week -----	10%
A few times a month-----	26%
A few times a year -----	46%
Never -----	13%
<b>(DON'T READ) DK/NA -----</b>	<b>1%</b>

6. And have you heard, seen, or read anything about a project to replace this bridge: a great deal, just a little, or nothing at all?

A great deal-----	25%
Just a little -----	51%
Nothing at all-----	23%
<b>(DON'T READ) DK/NA -----</b>	<b>1%</b>

(ASK IF CODES 1-2 IN Q6, n=699)

7. What do you recall seeing, hearing or reading about this project? (OPEN-ENDED, RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSES)

Disagreements about who is paying for it between OR/WA -----	27%
Planning renovation/replacement of the bridge -----	17%
Renovations have been postponed/Has been planned a long time -----	17%
Plan is very expensive-----	14%
Don't recall the details -----	13%
May have tolls -----	13%
Waste/mismanagement/poor planning -----	11%
Would include/upgrade public transit -----	8%
Will require road closures/affect traffic-----	8%
Current bridge is too old/not safe -----	2%
Other -----	1%
Nothing/NA -----	0%
Refused -----	1%

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

8. Next, I'm going to read you some information about the current state of the I-5 bridge.

In 2019, Washington and Oregon dedicated funding to restart Interstate Bridge replacement work to provide improved mobility for people, goods and services. This work will occur with federal partners, permitting agencies, state, and local elected officials; tribal governments; community stakeholders; and the public. All program development work will be conducted through a public, transparent process with extensive and inclusive community involvement.

Replacing the Interstate Bridge over the Columbia River has been an ongoing concern of Portland-Vancouver region residents for decades. The northbound bridge turned 100 years old in 2017, while the southbound bridge opened in 1958. Operating and maintaining these structures costs around \$1.2 million each year, split evenly between the states of Oregon and Washington. Without any upgrades, projects to keep the current bridge in service are expected to cost over 280 million dollars through the year 2040, and it still wouldn't be safe in an earthquake.

Please tell me how you feel about this idea. Please use a scale from zero to one hundred, where zero means you have a very unfavorable reaction to the idea and 100 means you have a very favorable reaction, with fifty being neutral. Remember, you can use any number between zero and one hundred. (RECORD VERBATIM 0 -100 SCORE, THEN CODE AFTERWARD)

<u>SCORE MEAN</u>	<u>0-9</u>	<u>10-49</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>51-90</u>	<u>91-100</u>	<u>(DK/NA)</u>
_____ ---65.9---	5%	----- 13%	-----16%	----- 44%	-----20%	----- 3%

**(ASK IF RATING OFFERED IN Q8)**

9. In a few words of your own, why did you give that rating? **(OPEN-ENDED, RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE)**

	<u>0-49</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>51+</u>
Needs more information -----	0%	17%	4%
Mixed feelings -----	1%	2%	1%
Concern about waste/mismanagement -----	26%	12%	10%
Build a new bridge instead -----	15%	6%	8%
It's necessary/needs to get done -----	11%	12%	29%
Doesn't affect me -----	4%	28%	3%
Infrastructure improvements for earthquakes/safety -----	15%	4%	38%
Expensive/how will it be funded? -----	22%	8%	15%
Prolonged planning period -----	4%	8%	3%
Need to improve traffic congestion -----	11%	5%	13%
More important issues/not needed -----	10%	7%	3%
Current bridge is 100 years old/too old -----	1%	1%	7%
Support including public transit/light rail component -----	2%	0%	4%
Other -----	2%	7%	2%
Nothing/NA -----	1%	1%	0%
Refused -----	3%	1%	1%

**(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)**

10. And in general, how important is it to you that this bridge replacement project be undertaken? **(READ LIST)**

<b>EXT/VERY IMPORTANT -----</b>	<b>53%</b>
Extremely important -----	23%
Very important -----	30%
Somewhat important -----	31%
Not important -----	14%
<b>(DON'T READ) Don't know -----</b>	<b>1%</b>

11. Next, I am going to read you some concerns that are driving the need for a new bridge. After you hear each one, please tell me whether each is extremely concerning, very concerning, somewhat concerning, or not a concern at all for you. **(RANDOMIZE)**

	<u>EXT</u> <u>CONC</u>	<u>VERY</u> <u>CONC</u>	<u>SMWT</u> <u>CONC</u>	<u>NOT A</u> <u>CONC</u>	<u>(DK/</u> <u>NA)</u>	<u>EXT/</u> <u>VERY</u>
[ ]a. The risk of a major earthquake -----	25%	25%	33%	15%	1%	51%
[ ]b. Limited capacity for public transit -----	17%	26%	32%	24%	3%	42%
[ ]c. Problems moving freight across the bridge -----	16%	31%	33%	16%	4%	47%
[ ]d. Inadequate access and safety for bicycles and pedestrians -----	12%	22%	34%	30%	2%	34%

	<u>EXT CONC</u>	<u>VERY CONC</u>	<u>SMWT CONC</u>	<u>NOT A CONC</u>	<u>(DK/ NA)</u>	<u>EXT/ VERY</u>
[ ]e. Safety concerns as a result of existing roadway design and bridge lifts	19%	28%	37%	13%	3%	47%
[ ]f. Growing traffic congestion	35%	33%	24%	8%	0%	68%

12. Next, I am going to read you a list of goals or priorities that guide the design of a replacement bridge. For each one, please tell me how important that goal or priority is to you on a scale of 1 to 7, where seven is “extremely important” and a one is “not important at all.” Four on this scale is neutral. (RANDOMIZE)

	<u>MEAN SCORE</u>	<u>NOT IMPT AT ALL</u>						<u>EXT IMPT 7</u>
		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	
[ ]a. Meeting a very high standard of earthquake safety	5.9	3%	3%	2%	9%	15%	21%	48%
[ ]b. Increasing opportunities for public transit, such as bus or light rail	4.9	12%	4%	4%	15%	17%	19%	29%
[ ]c. Increasing opportunities for crossing on bike or on foot	4.4	14%	6%	7%	20%	20%	17%	16%
[ ]d. Creating an iconic structure that will represent our region	3.7	26%	8%	5%	25%	18%	10%	9%
[ ]e. Maximizing bridge lanes to carry more vehicles	5.5	5%	2%	3%	14%	17%	26%	34%
[ ]f. Ensuring efficient and effective movement of freight	5.4	2%	2%	3%	16%	25%	26%	26%
[ ]g. Completing the project quickly	5.2	4%	5%	5%	20%	19%	21%	26%
[ ]h. Minimizing costs	5.4	1%	3%	6%	18%	21%	19%	32%
[ ]i. Ensuring racial and social justice are considered	4.6	20%	4%	3%	17%	10%	12%	34%
[ ]j. Minimizing impacts on neighboring communities	5.1	4%	4%	4%	21%	22%	22%	24%
[ ]k. Reducing traffic congestion	5.9	2%	2%	3%	8%	14%	26%	45%
[ ]l. Meaningfully including community input	5.4	3%	3%	3%	17%	20%	19%	35%
[ ]m. Connecting the growing communities of Vancouver and Portland	5.0	6%	4%	5%	25%	17%	20%	22%
[ ]n. Creating living wage jobs and workforce training opportunities	5.5	5%	3%	3%	13%	16%	23%	38%
[ ]o. Promoting opportunities for communities of color	4.8	16%	4%	2%	18%	11%	18%	31%
[ ]p. Reducing the pollution that causes climate change	5.0	13%	6%	4%	13%	12%	17%	36%

13. Next, here are some statements about visions for the bridge project. Please indicate how appealing each statement is to you using a scale of one to seven, where a seven is very appealing and a one is very unappealing. Four on this scale means neither appealing nor unappealing. **(RANDOMIZE)**

MEAN SCORE	VERY UNAPPEAL		NEITHER			VERY APPEALING	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

**(SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)**

- [ ]a. **(CLIMATE)** Climate change is a critical and growing threat, and the way we design a bridge replacement should be part of our efforts to reduce emissions. A new bridge should be designed to support mass transit, bike, and pedestrian travel to reduce our use of fossil fuels and cut down on greenhouse gas pollution. -----**4.8** ----- 17% --- 5%---- 4% ---13%---11% --15% --35%
- [ ]b. **(LANDMARK)** Our region’s natural beauty is a key part of our quality of life, and the Columbia River is one of the defining features of our region. A replacement bridge should have an attractive design that will fit with its setting and will be a beautiful and defining landmark for our region – one that we can all be proud of. -----**4.6** ----- 10% --- 7%---- 5% ---23%---19% --17% --19%
- [ ]c. **(SEISMIC)** The current bridge could collapse in an earthquake – at the very time when we will need I-5 as an evacuation route and to deliver critical goods and services. We should put safety first and foremost in all aspects of designing the bridge, to maximize its resilience in the face of a serious earthquake. -----**5.8** ----- 4%---- 3%---- 2% ----9% ---15% --21% --47%
- [ ]d. **(TRAFFIC)** Before the pandemic, traffic over the bridge was steadily increasing, and once the pandemic is over it will increase again. Any bridge design should focus on ways to increase the number of vehicles that can quickly and efficiently cross the River, in order to reduce traffic congestion. -----**5.8** ----- 2%---- 2%---- 4% ---10%---13% --25% --44%



MEAN SCORE	VERY UNAPPEAL		NEITHER			VERY APPEALING	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

**(SPLIT SAMPLE A CONTINUED)**

[ ]e. **(EQUITY)** The construction of I-5 displaced Black and indigenous residents and communities of color from their neighborhoods and burdened them with traffic, pollution, and other impacts. Any new bridge must make equity a central focus – ensuring that low-income communities and communities of color are not harmed in these ways. -----**4.9** ----- 14% --- 5% --- 3% --- 17% --- 12% -- 13% -- 36%

**(SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)**

[ ]f. **(MULTIMODAL)** Vancouver and Portland are part of the same growing metropolitan region, but there are not adequate transit connections between them. A centerpiece of any new bridge design should be efficient, affordable public transit connections. -----**5.2** ----- 7% --- 4% --- 3% --- 19% --- 19% -- 18% -- 31%

[ ]g. **(JOBS)** In the midst of a serious economic downturn from COVID-19 that could turn into a lasting recession, we need to make sure that a new bridge will boost our economy. It should increase employment, hire and train local workers, and make it easier to move workers and goods in and out of the region. -----**5.6** ----- 3% --- 3% --- 4% --- 13% --- 17% -- 23% -- 38%

[ ]h. **(BASIC)** Given the strains on our public budgets right now, we should pursue the most cost-effective bridge design possible. We should leverage all the past work that has been done on a bridge replacement, rather than starting over, and build a functional yet less bridge that will reliably meet safety standards. -----**5.1** ----- 5% --- 6% --- 7% --- 16% --- 20% -- 14% -- 32%

MEAN SCORE	VERY UNAPPEAL		NEITHER			VERY APPEALING	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

**(SPLIT SAMPLE B CONTINUED)**

[ ]i. **(DEMAND MANAGEMENT)**

Any bridge design should avoid pushing traffic from one bottleneck to another on the highway system, and should look to reduce traffic congestion on the entire road system. It should include tolls or other financial incentives to encourage driving at less crowded times.

-----**4.3** ----- 22% --- 5% --- 5% --- 17% --- 14% -- 13% -- 24%

[ ]j. **(SAFETY)** Bridge lifts and outdated roadway design – including narrow lanes, closely spaced interchanges, no shoulders, and limited visibility – currently contribute to roadway crashes and backups. A new bridge would help reduce collisions.

-----**5.6** ----- 3% --- 1% --- 2% --- 13% --- 21% -- 21% -- 39%

[ ]k. **(MODERNIZATION)** We have an opportunity to build a new, contemporary structure that uses innovative practices and today’s technology to have a bridge that doesn’t just meet today’s needs but meets the needs for the region for 100 years in the future.

-----**5.7** ----- 4% --- 1% --- 3% --- 13% --- 16% -- 23% -- 40%

**(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)**

14. Having learned more about it, let me ask you your opinion again about the bridge replacement program. Please use a scale from zero to one hundred, where zero means you have a very unfavorable reaction to the idea and 100 means you have a very favorable reaction, with fifty being neutral. Remember, you can use any number between zero and one hundred. **(RECORD VERBATIM 0 -100 SCORE, THEN CODE AFTERWARD)**

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>0-9</u>	<u>10-49</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>51-90</u>	<u>91-100</u>	<u>(DK/NA)</u>
--------------	-------------	------------	--------------	-----------	--------------	---------------	----------------

-----**72.5**----- 4% -----9% ----- 9% ----- 53% -----24%----- 1%

15. In general, would it be acceptable or unacceptable to you to require a toll to cross the bridge in order to fund its construction and maintenance? **(IF ACCEPTABLE/UNACCEPTABLE, ASK: "Is that very or somewhat ACCEPTABLE/UNACCEPTABLE?")**

**TOTAL ACCEPTABLE----- 52%**  
 Very acceptable ----- 24%  
 Somewhat acceptable ----- 28%

**TOTAL UNACCEPTABLE ----- 47%**  
 Somewhat unacceptable ----- 15%  
 Very unacceptable ----- 31%

**(DON'T READ) Rather not say ----- 1%**

16. Next, here are two statements about transportation in our region. Please indicate which comes closer to your opinion. **(RANDOMIZE)**

Now is the wrong time to spend time and money on planning  
 long-range improvements to our transportation system ----- 23%

**OR**

We can't wait any longer to develop plans to help fix our  
 region's long-term challenges with traffic and transportation----- 70%

**(DON'T READ) Both ----- 1%**

**(DON'T READ) Neither ----- 1%**

**(DON'T READ) Don't know ----- 6%**

17. Lastly, in a few words of your own, what is your biggest concern about this project? **(OPEN-ENDED, RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSES)**

Cost-----	35%
Continued delays/postponed indefinitely -----	22%
Government mismanagement/waste-----	19%
Impact on traffic-----	13%
Poor design/Not safe -----	10%
Tolling -----	8%
Impact on neighborhoods nearby (e.g. disruption during construction, displacement)-----	6%
Bridge projects will take away from more important issues-----	4%
Other infrastructure options not being explored -----	3%
Nothing/no concerns-----	3%
Environmental impact -----	3%
Needs to consider freight/economic impacts -----	3%
Impacts on communities of color/low-income communities-----	3%
Will not include public transit -----	2%
Respondent opposes transit-----	2%
Other -----	1%
Nothing/NA -----	0%
Refused -----	1%

18. Here is a list of people and organizations that might share information on the bridge replacement project. Please tell me whether you would generally trust or be suspicious of what they had to say on this subject. If you have never heard of the person or organization, or do not have an opinion, you can tell me that instead. **(IF TRUST, ASK: “Would you trust what they had to say a great deal, or just somewhat?”)** **(IF SUSPICIOUS, ASK: “Would you be very suspicious of what they had to say, or just somewhat?”)** **(RANDOMIZE)**

	<u>TRUST</u>	<u>SMWT</u>	<u>SMWT</u>	<u>VERY</u>	<u>NEVER</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	
	<u>A GREAT</u>	<u>TRUST</u>	<u>SUSP</u>	<u>SUSP</u>	<u>HEARD</u>	<u>OPINION</u>	<u>TRUST</u>	<u>SUSP</u>	
	<u>DEAL</u>	<u>SMWT</u>	<u>SUSP</u>	<u>SUSP</u>	<u>OF</u>	<u>OPINION</u>	<u>TRUST</u>	<u>SUSP</u>	
<b>(SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)</b>									
[ ] a.	Local elected officials	3%	34%	25%	33%	1%	5%	37%	57%
[ ] b.	Organizations representing transit riders	14%	40%	18%	14%	4%	11%	54%	31%
[ ] c.	Major local employers	10%	44%	28%	9%	1%	8%	54%	37%
[ ] d.	Local business chambers	8%	45%	24%	11%	3%	9%	52%	35%
[ ] e.	Public health officials	27%	31%	17%	17%	1%	9%	57%	33%
[ ] f.	Organizations representing local seniors	14%	48%	14%	4%	4%	15%	63%	18%
[ ] g.	Labor unions	15%	30%	24%	22%	1%	9%	45%	46%
[ ] h.	Economists at local universities	19%	40%	16%	15%	2%	8%	58%	31%
[ ] i.	Organizations representing local communities of color	21%	35%	14%	16%	2%	12%	56%	30%
<b>(SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)</b>									
[ ] j.	Families struggling to make ends meet	24%	34%	12%	6%	4%	20%	59%	18%
[ ] k.	Engineers and transportation experts	49%	34%	8%	3%	1%	4%	83%	12%
[ ] l.	Environmental organizations	27%	31%	12%	22%	1%	6%	58%	34%
[ ] m.	Organizations representing people living with a disability	26%	44%	10%	4%	2%	14%	70%	14%
[ ] n.	Truckers	21%	47%	15%	5%	1%	11%	68%	20%
[ ] o.	Tribal governments	24%	31%	18%	8%	3%	16%	55%	26%
[ ] p.	Churches and faith communities	10%	29%	19%	21%	3%	18%	39%	40%
[ ] q.	News outlets	8%	31%	20%	31%	2%	8%	39%	51%
[ ] r.	Your State Department of Transportation	18%	41%	22%	11%	1%	8%	59%	33%

**(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)**

**MY FINAL QUESTIONS ARE FOR CLASSIFICATION PURPOSES ONLY.**

19. Thinking back to February of this year before the pandemic, did you regularly, that is, at least two or three times per week, use the following modes of transportation? Purposes may include commuting to school or work, running errands, or recreation. **(RANDOMIZE)**

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>(DK)</u>
[ ]a. Rode a bicycle -----	21%	78%	1%
[ ]b. Rode buses, trains, light rail or other public transportation -----	27%	72%	1%
[ ]c. Carpooled-----	26%	71%	2%
[ ]d. Drove alone -----	84%	15%	1%
[ ]e. Used a rideshare service like Uber or Lyft-----	31%	67%	2%
[ ]f. Crossed the Columbia River on a bridge -----	51%	46%	2%

**(ALWAYS ASK LAST)**

[ ]g. Took a trip that connected more than one of these methods----- 32% ---- 65% -----3%

20. What is your employment status right now? **(MULTIPLE RESPONSES OK)**

Employed full-time-----	48%
Employed part-time -----	8%
Homemaker-----	3%
Not employed -----	11%
Retired-----	21%
Student-----	3%
Other-----	4%
<b>(DON'T READ)</b> Rather not say-----	3%

21. Do you own or rent your home?

Own-----	64%
Rent-----	30%
<b>(DON'T READ)</b> Rather not say-----	6%

22. How would you describe yourself politically: Are you liberal, moderate, or conservative? **(IF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE, ASK: “Is that very LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE or just somewhat?”)**

Very liberal-----	20%
Somewhat liberal -----	19%
Moderate-----	28%
Somewhat conservative-----	14%
Very conservative -----	9%
<b>(DON'T READ)</b> DK/NA/REFUSED -	11%

23. How would you describe the area in which you live? **(ROTATE)**

- A city ----- 36%
- A suburban area----- 50%
- A small town -----7%
- A rural area -----5%
- (DON'T READ) DK/NA -----3%**

24. Do you have any children under 19 at home?

- Yes ----- 27%
- No ----- 70%
- (DON'T KNOW/NA) -----4%**

25. What was the last level of school you completed?

- High school graduate or less----- 11%
- Some college/vocational school ----- 27%
- College (4 years)----- 35%
- Post-graduate work ----- 25%
- (DON'T READ) DK/Refused -----3%**

26. Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, or something else? **(IF "DEMOCRAT" OR "REPUBLICAN", ASK:)** “Would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or a not very strong Democrat/Republican?” **(IF "INDEPENDENT" IS VOLUNTEERED, ASK:)** “Well, do you think of yourself as closer to the Democratic or the Republican party?”

- Strong Democrat----- 24%
- Not strong Democrat----- 11%
- Independent/Democrat-----9%
- Independent----- 18%
- Independent/Republican -----5%
- Not strong Republican -----5%
- Strong Republican ----- 10%
- (DON'T KNOW/NA) ----- 18%**

27. I don't need to know the exact amount, but I'm going to read you some categories for household income. Would you please stop me when I have read the category indicating the total combined income for all the people in your household before taxes in 2019?

- \$25,000 and under-----5%
- \$25,001 - \$50,000----- 15%
- \$50,001 - \$75,000----- 14%
- \$75,001 - \$100,000 ----- 15%
- \$100,001 - \$150,000----- 18%
- More than \$150,000----- 15%
- (DON'T READ) Refused ----- 18%**

**THANK AND TERMINATE**

**GENDER:**

Male -----	48%
Female-----	51%
Non-binary-----	1%
Another category (SPECIFY) -----	0%

**MODE OF INTERVIEW:**

Phone -----	55%
Online -----	45%

**PARTY REGISTRATION (OR ONLY):**

Democrat-----	52%
Republican-----	19%
Non-Affiliated/Other-----	29%

**FLAGS**

P14-----	32%
G14 -----	55%
P16-----	48%
G16 -----	72%
P18-----	40%
G18 -----	79%
P20-----	67%
BLANK -----	11%

**STATE**

Oregon -----	79%
Washington-----	21%

**AGE**

18-24 -----	9%
25-29 -----	9%
30-34 -----	9%
35-39 -----	11%
40-44 -----	9%
45-49 -----	8%
50-54 -----	7%
55-59 -----	7%
60-64 -----	9%
65-74 -----	13%
75+ -----	9%
Blank -----	0%

**COUNTY**

Clackamas, OR-----	15%
Multnomah, OR-----	40%
Washington, OR -----	24%
Clark, WA -----	21%